

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,802	02/11/2002	Thord Agne Gustaf Nilson	01597/LH	7135
1933 7	7590 10/09/2002			
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			AGUIRRECHEA, JAYDI A	
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AZ				
» ¥.	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/937,802	NILSON, THORD AGNE GUSTAF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this security is	Jaydi A. Aguirrechea	2834				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 S</u>	September 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊡ Thi	is action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 September 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>03 September 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)⊡ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion	• •					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9 	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/937,802

Art Unit: 2834

Drawings

1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on September 3, 2002. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. The dimensions of the reinforcement disks are not described in the specification. There is no written description of the term "substantially a same outer dimension" in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear to the examiner what constitutes "substantially a same outer dimensions". The specification provides no guidance for this terminology.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takemoto (JP06245473A) in view of Miura (JP 10243586).

Takemoto discloses a rotor having:

a central spindle (3),

Application/Control Number: 09/937,802

Art Unit: 2834

a plurality of magnet discs (1) stacked on said spindle,

a clamping device (11) provided on said spindle for exerting an axial clamping force on said magnet discs, thereby forming an axially pre-tensioned disc packet, and a reinforcement disc, flat in shape, provided between two magnetic discs (Figure 6),

wherein the reinforcement discs have the same outer dimension as the magnet discs.

However, it fails to disclose the reinforcement disc made of a nonmagnetic material.

Miura teach the reinforcement disc made of titanium, a known nonmagnetic material, because it has a large coefficient of thermal expansion.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the titanium disks disclosed by Miura on the rotor disclosed by Takemoto because it has a large coefficient of thermal expansion.

- 7. With regards to claim 6, Miura discloses in the description of the prior art the reinforcement disks being made of a ceramic material because the elastic modulus of this material is very large.
- 8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takemoto in view of Miura as applied to claims 1-2 and 4-6 above, and further in view of Nilson et al. (US 5448123).

Takemoto in view of Miura discloses the claimed invention except for the insulating layers.

Nilson teaches the use of layers (17) made of aluminum between the magnets for the purpose of obtaining electrical insulation.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the aluminum layers disclosed by Nilson on the rotor structure disclosed by the combination of Takemoto and Miura for the purpose of obtaining electrical insulation.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,802

Art Unit: 2834

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 7-8 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record fails to teach, disclose or suggest, either alone or in combination, the magnet disks are radially pretensioned by a pre-assembly heat treatment of the reinforcement disks. Regarding claim 8 is allowed because for the reasons given in claim 7 because of their dependency status from claim 7.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

Art Unit: 2834

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaydi A. Aguirrechea whose telephone number is 703-305-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

October 7, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800